



### **LEGAL ISSUES RELATED TO THE REPORTS OF THE UNITED NATIONS COMMITTEES. LEGAL EFFECTS IN SPAIN**

In recent years, there has been a significant increase in academic interest in the reports with which the United Nations Human Rights Committees respond to individual communications. This has led to difficulties in fitting in with the domestic legal systems of the states to which these reports are addressed in the event of declaring a violation of any of the rights set out in the treaties by virtue of which these bodies are created. This is due to the lack of express indication of the effects of these reports, which are not sentences and are issued by non-judicial bodies. The Spanish case is a good example of the uncertainties of jurisprudence when faced with a report. According to the Spanish Constitution, they are parameters of interpretation of the fundamental rights contained in it, but this is a pro-future effect, but does not provide reparation to the victim, and it is well known that the lack of reparation means the perpetuation of the violation. Spanish jurisprudence has been, to say the least, hesitant in determining these effects, with the exceptions of the judgments handed down in the cases of Angela González Carreño and Rubén Calleja.